



**Headquarters Office**

449 Lewis Hargett Circle, Suite 290  
Lexington, KY 40503-3590  
P (859) 276-1147, F (859) 278-0507  
[www.nasact.org](http://www.nasact.org)

**Washington Office**

The Hall of the States  
444 N. Capitol Street, NW, Suite 234  
Washington, DC 20001  
P (202) 624-5451, F (202) 624-5473

**PEER REVIEW REPORT  
September 16, 2016**

Ms. Kris Curtis, Legislative Auditor  
Alaska Division of Legislative Audit  
333 Willoughby Avenue, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Juneau, AK 99801-3300

Dear Ms. Curtis:

We have reviewed the system of quality control of the Division of Legislative Audit (the office) in effect for the period July 1, 2015 through August 15, 2016. A system of quality control encompasses the office's organizational structure and the policies adopted and procedures established to provide it with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. The design of the system and compliance with it are the responsibility of the office. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system and the office's compliance with the system based on our review.

We conducted our review in accordance with the policies and procedures for external peer reviews established by the National State Auditors Association (NSAA). In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the office's system of quality control for engagements conducted in accordance with professional standards. In addition, we tested compliance with the office's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the office's policies and procedures on selected engagements. The engagements selected represented a reasonable cross-section of the office's engagements conducted in accordance with professional standards. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Our review was based on selective tests; therefore it would not necessarily disclose all design matters in the system of quality control or all compliance matters with the system. Also, there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control; therefore, noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the system of quality control of the Division of Legislative Audit in effect for the period July 1, 2015 through August 15, 2016 has been suitably designed and was complied with during the period to provide the audit organization with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with *Government Auditing Standards* in all material respects. Audit organizations can receive a rating of *pass*, *pass with deficiency(ies)*, or *fail*. The Division of Legislative Audit has received a peer review rating of *pass*.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Hollie S. Andrus".

Hollie S. Andrus, CPA  
National State Auditors Association  
External Peer Review Team

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Pamela J. Robinson".

Pamela J. Robinson, CPA  
National State Auditors Association  
External Peer Review Team