
PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

In accordance with Title 24 and Title 44 of the Alaska Statutes (sunset legislation), we have reviewed the activities of the Alaska Health Care Commission (commission). The purpose of this audit was to determine if there is a demonstrated public need for its continued existence and if it has been operating in an effective manner. As required by AS 44.66.050(a), this report shall be considered by the committee of reference during the legislative oversight process in determining whether the commission should be reestablished. Currently, under AS 44.66.010(a)(9), the commission will terminate on June 30, 2014, and will have one year from that date to conclude its administrative operations.

REPORT CONCLUSIONS

Overall, the commission is operating in the public’s interest, but improvements in the development of a statewide health plan are needed to justify its continued existence. Without a statewide health plan, the actions of the commission may not effectively impact health care in Alaska. (See Recommendation No 1.) Deficiencies related to public notices and annual reports were also noted. (See Recommendation Nos. 2 and 3.)

We recommend the commission’s termination date be extended three years to June 30, 2017, to provide adequate time to develop a statewide health plan.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The commission should coordinate with DHSS’ commissioner to identify each agency’s roles and responsibilities regarding developing a statewide health plan and pursue development accordingly.

2. The commission chair should implement a policy to utilize DHSS public noticing procedures for commission meetings.

3. The commission chair should implement procedures to ensure annual reports include all statutorily required components.
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The audit was conducted as required by AS 44.66.050 and under the authority of AS 24.20.271(1). Alaska Statute 44.66.050(c) lists the criteria to be used to assess the demonstrated public need for a given board, commission, agency, or program subject to the sunset review process. Per AS 44.66.010(a)(9), the commission is scheduled to terminate on June 30, 2014. In our opinion, the commission’s termination date should be extended. We recommend the commission’s termination date be extended three years to June 30, 2017.

The audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. Fieldwork procedures utilized in the course of developing the conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are discussed in the Objectives, Scope, and Methodology.
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In accordance with Title 24 and 44 of the Alaska Statutes, we have reviewed the Alaska Health Care Commission’s (commission) activities to determine if there is a demonstrated public need for its continued existence and if it has been operating in an efficient and effective manner.

As required by AS 44.66.050(a), this report shall be considered by the committee of reference during the legislative oversight process in determining whether the commission should be reestablished. Currently, under AS 44.66.010(a)(9), the commission will terminate on June 30, 2014, and will have one year from that date to conclude its administrative operations.

**Objectives**

The three, central, interrelated audit objectives were:

1. Determine if the commission’s termination date should be extended.
2. Determine if the commission is operating in the public’s interest.
3. Determine if the commission has developed a statewide health plan.

The assessment of the commission’s operations and performance was based on the 11 criteria set out in AS 44.66.050(c). Under the State’s “sunset” law, these criteria are to be used in assessing whether an agency has demonstrated a public policy need for continuing operations.

**Scope**

The audit evaluated the commission’s operations and activities for the period June 24, 2010, through May 6, 2013.

**Methodology**

To gain an understanding of the commission’s operations and activities, we examined and evaluated:

- Applicable commission-related statutes and by-laws to identify functions and responsibilities, including member composition and required qualifications.

- Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) related statutes pertaining to developing, adopting, and implementing a statewide health plan based on the commission’s recommendations.
• Commission meeting transcripts and annual reports to understand the nature and extent of public input. Additionally, we evaluated information for compliance with statutes and commission by-laws.

• Commission policy documents and consultant reports related to meeting statutory duties, goals, and objectives.

• Public notice documentation to ascertain whether commission meeting notices met statutory requirements and adopted by-laws.

• Various Alaskan, other states, and national organizations’ websites containing health care plan information for methods regarding the development of statewide health plans and potential duplication of activities by the commission.

• Departmental budget information relating to the commission’s creation and operations.

We inquired of the following organizations to determine if any complaints were filed against the commission or its members, and whether complaints were efficiently resolved:

• DHSS’ Office of the Commissioner;
• Office of the Ombudsman;
• Alaska State Commission for Human Rights;
• Office of Victims’ Rights;
• Department of Administration’s Division of Personnel and Labor Relations; and

We interviewed state agency staff and commission members to identify and evaluate various issues relating to the commission’s activities. Specific issues of inquiry included commission operations, duplication of efforts, and the commission’s goals and objectives during the audit period.

We also assessed the internal control procedures related to various audit objectives, including commission proceedings and the development of a statewide health plan.
Alaska Health Care Commission (commission)

The commission is authorized by AS 18.09.010 to “provide recommendations for and foster the development of a statewide plan to address the quality, accessibility, and availability of health care for all citizens of the state.”

The commission consists of 14 members; 11 are voting members, and three are non-voting ex-officio members representing the Alaska House of Representatives, the Senate, and the Office of the Governor. The 11 voting members are:

- The State’s chief medical officer who serves as chair;
- One state-licensed health care provider practicing in the State;
- One active health care provider licensed to practice in the State;
- One public member; and
- Seven members representing various Alaskan health care industry stakeholders.

Except for the two legislative seats, all members are appointed by the governor to staggered three-year terms. All members must be Alaska residents for at least one year at the time of appointment. Exhibit 1 lists commission members as of May 31, 2013.

Alaska Statutes 18.09.040 through 18.09.070 define the commission’s scope. These statutes authorize the following.

1. The commission may adopt and amend by-laws to conduct efficient commission operations.
2. The commission shall foster the development of a statewide health plan which includes a comprehensive health care policy and a strategy for improving all residents’ health. As part of the development process, the commission may hold public hearings to gather information and opinions over various health care matters. The commission is required to submit an annual report containing hearing results and other plan and policy development activities to the governor and the legislature by January 15th of each year.

3. The commission may employ an executive director to carry out administrative operations. The executive director reports directly to the commission. The Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) may also assign an employee to assist with commission activities. Both positions are employees of the DHSS’ Office of the Commissioner, but the commission establishes their duties.

In accordance with adopted by-laws, the commission must meet at least four times annually.

Department of Health and Social Services

Within the statutory language which created the commission, DHSS-related statutes were also amended. Alaska Statute 18.05.010(b)(5)(A) was added containing the provision that DHSS may “develop, adopt, and implement a statewide health plan under AS 18.09 based on recommendations of the Alaska health care commission.”

DHSS provides administrative support services to the commission by performing:

- Budgetary and other financial support needed for commission operations;
- Personnel support for hiring and retaining two full time staff positions dedicated to commission duties; and
- Other administrative support functions such as, but not limited to, public noticing of commission activities, grants and contracts assistance, information technology support, providing office space and other office-related materials and supplies necessary to carry out commission functions.
According to a recent study, Alaska has the highest per capita health care costs in the nation. Health care spending has tripled since 1990 and exceeded $7 billion in 2010. At the current rate of increase, this spending is expected to double and reach $14 billion by 2020. Recognizing this trend is unsustainable, various comprehensive health care reform workgroups were created to address health care reform.

Administrative Order (AO) 246 first established the Alaska Health Care Commission (commission) in December 2008.

The commission’s purpose was to “provide recommendations for and foster the development of a statewide plan to address the quality, accessibility, and availability of health care for all citizens of the State.” The AO required the commission to develop strategies for improving Alaskans’ health that included:

1. Encouraging personal responsibility in prevention and healthy living;
2. Reducing per capita health care costs to below the national average;
3. Providing state communities access to safe water and wastewater systems;
4. Developing a sustainable state health care workforce;
5. Making quality health care accessible for all state residents; and
6. Increasing the number of state residents covered by health care insurance.

The commission met throughout 2009 and reported its findings and recommendations in January 2010. The report identified 31 recommendations; however, a statewide health plan was not developed. As documented in meeting transcripts, the commission did not consider itself responsible for producing a statewide health plan. Instead, the commission focused efforts on specific policy recommendations. The commission expired after producing the 2010 report and was reestablished by Senate Bill 172 in June 2010.

The commission was reestablished in statute.

The legislature reestablished the commission to address the State’s need for health care reform. The legislature intended the commission to achieve reform through developing a statewide health plan based on “education, sustainability, management efficiency, health care effectiveness, public private partnerships, research, personal responsibility, and individual choice.” To promote balanced decision making, the 14-member commission is composed of public and private sector representatives from major stakeholder groups. Membership includes representatives from the legislative and executive branches of government, the business community, the health care community, and health care consumers.

The commission’s statutory purpose\(^2\) is similar to the purpose established in AO 246. However, the current commission has more specific requirements regarding the statewide health plan.\(^3\) Whereas AO 246 did not specify plan priorities, Alaska Statutes require the commission to foster development of a plan that includes a:

1. Comprehensive statewide health care policy.
2. Strategy for improving all state residents’ health that:
   a. Encourages personal responsibility for disease prevention, healthy living, and health insurance acquisition;
   b. Reduces health care costs;
   c. Eliminates known health risks, including unsafe water and wastewater systems;
   d. Develops a sustainable health care workforce;
   e. Improves access to quality health care; and
   f. Increases the number of insurance options for health care services.

The first commission meeting was held in October 2010. At that meeting, the commission agreed to continue the AO commission’s work and use the same general approach. Rather than working on a statewide health plan, the commission collected information from various cost studies and developed high level policy recommendations. During the initial meeting, the commission also established general priorities. The priorities evolved into a strategic framework (framework) and included the following.

- Develop a vision.
- Understand and accurately describe the current health care system.
- Build a foundation to identify infrastructure support elements for the health care industry.
- Identify strategies to transform the health care delivery system to be more efficient, effective, and accessible.

The framework is summarized in the commission’s 2012 document, *Transforming Health Care in Alaska*. (See Appendix A.) The commission’s vision is, “*By 2025 Alaskans will be the healthiest people in the nation and have access to the highest quality, most affordable health care.*” The commission anticipated its vision being achieved through consumer focused innovations in patient-centric health care and support for healthy lifestyles. To that end, the commission identified eight core strategies (shown in Exhibit 2, page 15) and 63 recommendations\(^4\) addressing four overarching priorities:

\(^2\)Alaska Statute 18.09.010.
\(^3\)Alaska Statute 18.09.070.
\(^4\)The commission issued 31 recommendations while operating under AO 246 and 32 recommendations as of May 2010 since operating under Alaska Statutes.
1. High quality, affordable health care;
2. Accessible, innovative, patient-driven care;
3. Healthy Alaskans; and
4. A sustainable, efficient, and effective health care system.

Core strategies and recommendations focused on various policy areas with particular emphasis on cost transparency and reduction efforts, evidence based medicine, and health information technology. The latter includes use of the hospital discharge database and implementing a statewide all-payers claims database.⁵

---

⁵An all-payer claims database collects comprehensive health insurance claims information from all health care payers into a statewide information repository.
In developing our conclusion regarding whether the Alaska Health Care Commission’s (commission) termination date should be extended, we evaluated commission operations using the 11 factors set out in AS 44.66.050. Under the State’s “sunset” law, these factors are to be used in assessing whether an agency has demonstrated a public policy need for continuing operations.

Overall, the commission is operating in the public’s interest, but improvements in the development of a statewide health plan are needed to justify its continued existence. Without a statewide health plan, the actions of the commission may not effectively impact health care in Alaska. (See Recommendation No 1.) Deficiencies related to public notices and annual reports were also noted. (See Recommendation Nos. 2 and 3.)

According to AS 44.66.010(a)(9), the commission is scheduled to terminate on June 30, 2014. We recommend the commission’s termination date be extended three years to June 30, 2017, to provide adequate time to develop a statewide health plan.

Neither the commission nor the Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) coordinated efforts to develop a statewide health plan.

The legislature intended the commission and DHSS to work together to create a comprehensive statewide health plan. Though various policy recommendations were developed, the commission did not collaborate with DHSS to achieve the intended outcome.

Statutory language does not specifically assign responsibility for developing a plan. The commission’s purpose is to provide recommendations for and foster the development of a statewide health plan. Additionally, DHSS’ statutory language states the department may develop, adopt, and implement a statewide health plan based on the commission’s recommendations. As such, it is unclear which entity is responsible for developing a comprehensive statewide health plan. Development requires collaboration and significant coordination between the commission and DHSS. As of May 2013, coordination was insufficient to produce a plan.

Rather than developing a statewide health plan, the commission focused on developing high level strategies and policies, and issuing related recommendations. From June 2010 through May 2013, the commission issued 32 recommendations for improving health care in the State. Although the work performed addresses some aspects of Alaska’s health care system, it does not provide for effective implementation of the recommendations.

---

6Alaska Statute 18.09.010.
7Alaska Statute 18.05.010(b)(5)(A).
The recommendations issued by the commission were developed as part of the strategic framework. The strategic framework has been included in this report as Appendix A. According to the commission’s 2012 annual report, the framework includes developing a vision, describes Alaska’s current health system, identifies core strategies, and measures progress. Although the framework includes many elements of a comprehensive plan, it lacks the actionable components necessary for effective implementation. Currently, the framework does not identify specific actions to be taken, the timeframe for completion, the organization responsible for taking action, the definition of a successful outcome, nor does it specify how progress will be monitored and measured.
This is the Alaska Health Care Commission’s (commission) first sunset audit. This sunset audit identifies three recommendations.

Recommendation No. 1

The commission should coordinate with the Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) commissioner to identify each agency’s roles and responsibilities regarding developing a statewide health plan and pursue development accordingly.

As of May 2013, there is no comprehensive statewide health plan. Absent coordination between the commission and DHSS management, the commission’s strategic framework is unlikely to develop into or result in a statewide health plan. The framework does not include components necessary to take action and does not address all the elements required in Alaska Statutes.

As set out in AS 18.09.070, the commission is the State’s health planning and coordinating body. The commission is required to provide recommendations for and foster the development of a statewide health plan containing (1) a comprehensive statewide health care policy and (2) a strategy for improving all state residents’ health. When creating the commission, the legislature also amended AS 18.05.010(b)(5)(A), so that DHSS may develop, adopt, and implement a statewide health plan based on recommendations from the commission. Therefore, achieving the overall goal of developing and implementing a statewide health plan requires coordination between the commission and DHSS management.

Due to the ambiguous language of “foster the development” of a statewide health plan, the commission determined actual development was not its responsibility. Instead, the commission focused on studying and issuing recommendations regarding specific high level policy solutions as part of the strategic framework.

While the framework contains some necessary elements, it lacks actionable components essential for effective implementation as part of a comprehensive statewide health plan, such as:

- Desired and realistic outcomes;
- Specific actions to be performed to meet those outcomes;
- Necessary resources to complete identified actions;
- A designated entity to ensure actions are performed;
- A timeframe for completion; and
- Processes to monitor and measure progress to ensure outcomes are achieved.
Furthermore, due to commission-established priorities, the framework does not address all statutorily required elements. Missing elements include fraud reduction, unsafe water and wastewater issues, and increasing the number of insurance options.

Public benefit from commission activities was diminished due to the lack of coordination between the commission and DHSS management. Without actionable components, the strategic framework and policy recommendations developed by the commission may not effectively impact healthcare in Alaska.

We recommend the commission coordinate with DHSS’ commissioner to determine respective responsibilities in developing a statewide health plan. Once responsibilities are clarified, the existing strategic framework’s elements should be incorporated into an actionable plan.

Recommendation No. 2

The commission chair should implement a policy to utilize established DHSS public noticing procedures for commission meetings.

Commission meetings and hearings were not public noticed timely, and hearings were not published in at least three statewide news media. Of the 15 meetings held from October 2010 through March 2013, 12 were advertised as public meetings and three as hearings. Two of the 12 meetings were not advertised timely. Two of the three hearings were not published timely, and all three notices were not published in statewide news media.

The commission does not have standardized procedures for public noticing and did not utilize resources available through DHSS. Adequate notice is essential to maximize public participation in commission meetings.

Alaska Statute 44.62.310 requires “reasonable” public notice be given for all public meetings; however, it does not define reasonable in quantifiable terms such as days or weeks.8 Alaska Statute 18.09.070(b) requires that hearings be published at least 15 days prior to the hearing and be published in at least three statewide news media.

We recommend the commission chair implement a policy to utilize DHSS’ existing public noticing procedures.

---

8For audit purposes, reasonable was defined as seven days in advance of the meeting.
Recommendation No. 3

The commission chair should implement procedures to ensure annual reports include all statutorily required components.

Required financial disclosures and conflict of interest statements\(^9\) were absent from the commission’s annual reports. Alaska Statute 18.09.070(c) requires four components in the commission’s annual reports: activities and recommendations, voting records, financial disclosures, and conflict of interest statements. The 2010 through 2012 annual reports did not contain financial disclosures\(^10\) and the 2011 and 2012 reports did not include conflict of interest statements.\(^11\) Furthermore, the commission website did not include the required report components or identify where they could be obtained.

The executive director obtained annual financial disclosures for 2010 and 2011 and conflict of interest statements for the period October 2010 through December 2012. These financial disclosures were not published due to confidentiality concerns even though financial disclosures are public information. The conflict of interest statements were not published due to lack of procedures. Financial disclosures and conflict of interest statements are essential to ensure transparency and accountability. Absent transparency, confidence and trust in the public process is diminished.

We recommend the commission chair develop and implement procedures to ensure annual reports contain all statutorily required components.

\(^9\)The commission refers to the conflict of interest statements as *Ethics Supervisor Quarterly Statistical Summary* in the annual reports.
\(^10\)As of May 7, 2013, the 2012 financial disclosures had not been obtained and were not available for review.
\(^11\)The 2010 report, issued January 2011, contained conflict of interest statements.
A N A L Y S I S  O F  P U B L I C  N E E D

The following analyses of Alaska Health Care Commission’s (commission) activities relate to the public need factors defined in AS 44.66.050(c). These analyses are not intended to be comprehensive but to only address those areas we were able to cover within the scope of our audit. The Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) is included in the following analyses where commission activities rely on the department’s participation.

**Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or program has operated in the public interest.**

The commission benefited the public by developing a strategic framework for improving health care in Alaska. The framework describes a vision, identifies core strategies, and makes various policy recommendations for improving health care. (See Appendix A.) The core strategies are listed in Exhibit 2.

The commission prioritized cost issues over addressing issues of health care quality, accessibility, and availability. Cost reduction is a required part of the commission’s statutory duties. Five studies and analyses of various health care topics were conducted on behalf of the commission as follows:

5. ISER, *Alaska Health Care Spending – What do we get for our money and how do we reign-in spending without harming our welfare?*

The studies and other commission activities focused on cost and feasibility of implementing an all-payer claims database. These two areas address multiple core strategies for improving health care in the State. Cost study topics included: identifying the nature of health care

---

12Vision: “By 2025 Alaskans will be the healthiest people in the nation and have access to the highest quality, most affordable health care.”
spending in Alaska; identifying specific health care cost drivers in Alaska; and comparing facility care, prescription drugs, and physician services costs in Alaska to other western states.

The commission envisions an all-payer claims database as a powerful tool to improve decision-making and to increase price and quality transparency. The database is viewed as an important part of an improved health information infrastructure and should contribute to building a sustainable health care system foundation. The database could affect three of the eight core strategies to transform health care in Alaska. The commission further believes the database will help address the statutory duty to leverage health information technology and successful innovations identified by other states to reduce health care costs.

The commission has been impeded by ambiguous statutory language. Alaska Statutes require the commission to provide recommendations for and foster the development of a statewide health plan. Additionally, statutes state that DHSS may develop, adopt, and implement a statewide health plan based on commission recommendations. Consequently, responsibility for developing a plan is unclear. (See Recommendation No. 1.)

From October 2010 through March 2013, two administrative activities, public noticing and compilation of annual reports, did not meet statutory requirements. Both deficiencies were due to a lack of procedures to ensure compliance. (See Recommendation Nos. 2 and 3.)

The commission developed and adopted statutorily required by-laws. The by-laws govern meeting proceedings and operational activities such as the minimum number of meetings held each year, chair and member responsibilities, and ethical standards. The by-laws assist in ensuring commission operations comply with state laws and meetings are run as efficiently as possible. A comparison of statutory language to adopted by-laws identified three minor discrepancies. These discrepancies include annual ethics reporting, specific components of the annual report, and per diem. By-laws should be updated to reflect statutory requirements.

Although there were no changes to commission statutes during the audit period, the commission supported other statutory changes that were consistent with commission recommendations. Three examples are:

- House Bill (HB) 78, effective June 2012, established a loan repayment and employment incentive program for certain health care professionals employed in the
State. The program is intended to ensure that state residents, including medical assistance and Medicare recipients, may access health care.

- Proposed HB 44 would require DHSS to establish and maintain an advanced health care directives registry with names of individuals who have made written directives on end of life decisions. DHSS would establish the directory via regulation. The bill stipulates when and to whom DHSS may release a directive, mandates that the registry be accessible online, and allows the department to charge a fee for establishing and maintaining the registry.

- House Bill 310, effective June 2012, temporarily reinstated DHSS’ child and adult immunization program and provided additional state funding to fill the gap left by a reduction in federal funding. The purpose of HB 310 is to ensure that vaccines are made available to underinsured children and uninsured and underinsured adults.

Each of these recommended actions benefits the public as they address specific commission core strategies, findings, and priorities.

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or agency has encouraged interested persons to report to it concerning the effect of its regulations and decisions on the effectiveness of service, economy of service, and availability of service that it has provided.

The commission held at least four meetings per year in compliance with adopted by-laws. Between July 2010 and March 2013, the commission held 15 meetings. Twelve of the meetings were advertised as public meetings, and three were advertised as hearings. Different public noticing requirements apply to each type of meeting. Two of the 12 public meetings, and two of the three hearings, did not meet public noticing requirements. (See Recommendation No. 2.)

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or agency has encouraged public participation in the making of its regulations and decisions.

Each year, the December meeting is reserved for members to review written comments on the draft annual report which were solicited in November. No oral public comment is obtained at these meetings. The commission, via its website, offers individuals the ability to subscribe to an electronic mailing list to receive various commission activities notifications. The commission allotted time for public comment at 13 of 15 meetings. Meeting minutes and other documents, such as studies and reports, are available on the commission’s website.
Determine the efficiency with which public inquiries or complaints regarding the activities of the board, commission, or agency filed with it, with the department to which a board or commission is administratively assigned, or with the office of victims’ rights or the office of the ombudsman have been processed and resolved.

No commission-related complaints were filed with the Office of Victims’ Rights and the State’s Office of the Ombudsman. Two commission-related complaints were filed with DHSS’ Office of the Commissioner and resolved timely.

Determine the extent to which a board or commission that regulates entry into an occupation or profession has presented qualified applicants to serve the public.

This criterion does not apply to the commission as it is not an occupational licensing organization.

Determine the extent to which state personnel practices, including affirmative action requirements, have been complied with by the board, commission, or agency to its own activities and the area of activity or interest.

From July 2010 through March 2013, no commission-related complaints were filed with the Alaska State Commission for Human Rights, the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the Department of Administration’s Division of Personnel and Labor Relations.

Determine the extent to which statutory, regulatory, budgeting, or other changes are necessary to enable the agency, board, or commission to better serve the interests of the public and to comply with the factors enumerated in this subsection.

To better serve the public’s interest, the commission should refocus its efforts towards developing an actionable statewide health plan in coordination with DHSS. The plan should include timelines, resources needed, and methods of measuring and evaluating progress. (See Recommendation No. 1.)

An analysis of the commission’s operational activities identified administrative deficiencies in public meeting notifications and inclusion of all required elements in the annual report. (See Recommendation Nos. 2 and 3.)

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or agency has effectively attained its objectives and purposes and the efficiency with which the board, commission, or agency has operated.

While the commission developed a strategic framework that includes core strategies and many policy recommendations, it did not consider itself responsible for creating a state plan.
As discussed above, the commission should proactively coordinate efforts with DHSS to meet its statutory mandate.

Determine the extent to which the board, commission, or agency duplicates the activities of another governmental agency or the private sector.

Nothing came to our attention to indicate the commission was duplicating other private, state, or federal agencies’ efforts in coordinating the development of a statewide health plan. Where commission mandated duties are addressed by other work groups, such as the health care workforce coalition, the commission remained informed through the executive director’s participation in those work groups.
Appendix A includes a document titled *Transforming Health Care in Alaska: Core Strategies and Policy Recommendations* developed by the Alaska Health Care Commission. The document describes the commission’s vision and specifies its approach to fulfilling its statutorily mandated purpose. The document includes 63 commission recommendations. As discussed in the Background Information section of this report, the commission refers to this document as their “strategic framework.”
(Intentionally left blank)
Core Strategies for Health Care Transformation

January 2013

I. Ensure the best available evidence is used for making decisions
Support clinicians and patients to make clinical decisions based on high grade medical evidence regarding effectiveness and efficiency of testing and treatment options. Apply evidence-based principles in the design of health insurance plans and benefits.

II. Increase price and quality transparency
Provide Alaskans with information on how much their health care costs and how outcomes compare so they can become informed consumers and make informed choices. Provide clinicians, payers and policy makers with information needed to make informed health care decisions.

III. Pay for value
Redesign payment structures to incentivize quality, efficiency and effectiveness. Support multi-payer payment reform initiatives to improve purchasing power for the consumer and minimize the burden on health care providers.

IV. Engage employers to improve health plans and employee wellness
Support employers to adopt employee health and health insurance plan improvement as a business strategy. Start with price and quality transparency, and leadership by the State Department of Administration.

V. Enhance quality and efficiency of care on the front-end
Strengthen the role of primary care providers, and give patients and their clinicians better tools for making health care decisions. Improve coordination of care for patients with multiple providers, and care management for patients with chronic health conditions. Improve Alaska’s Trauma system.

VI. Increase dignity and quality of care for seriously/terminally ill patients
Support Alaskans to plan in advance to ensure health care and other end of life decisions are honored. Provide secure electronic access to advance directives. Encourage provider training and education in end-of-life care. Establish a process that engages seriously and terminally ill patients in shared treatment decision-making with their clinicians. Use telehealth and redesign reimbursement methods to improve access to palliative care.

VII. Focus on prevention
Create the conditions that support Alaskans to exercise personal responsibility for living healthy lifestyles. High priorities include reducing obesity rates, increasing immunization rates, and improving behavioral health status.

VIII. Build the foundation of a sustainable health care system
Create the information infrastructure required for maintaining and sharing electronic health information and for analysis of health care data to drive improved quality, cost and outcomes. Support an appropriate supply and distribution of health care workers. Provide statewide leadership to facilitate health care system transformation.
## Priorities, Core Strategies, and Desired Outcomes

### PRIORITY A. High Quality, Affordable Health Care

**CORE STRATEGY I** Ensure the best available evidence is used for making decisions
- **Outcome 1:** Clinicians understand and apply grades of evidence in clinical decision-making
- **Outcome 2:** Patients and their clinicians partner in a shared decision-making model on clinical decisions
- **Outcome 3:** Payers apply evidence-based medicine principals in health plan design and management

**CORE STRATEGY II** Increase price and quality transparency
- **Outcome 1:** Alaskans can easily access and compare prices charged by providers and reimbursable by payers
- **Outcome 2:** Alaskans can easily access and compare clinical quality and outcome of providers
- **Outcome 3:** Financial performance of corporate health care entities is reported to the public on an annual basis

**CORE STRATEGY III** Design payment structures to incentivize quality, efficiency, effectiveness
- **Outcome 1:** State agencies that purchase health care work together to align payment strategies
- **Outcome 2:** Health care payers partner together and with providers to test innovative payment models
- **Outcome 3:** Health care payment structures evolve away from payment for individual services to pay for outcomes

**CORE STRATEGY IV** Engage employers to improve health plans and employee wellness
- **Outcome 1:** Alaskan employers adopt health improvement and health care value as a business strategy
- **Outcome 2:** Employers increase health care price sensitivity, transparency, primary care, & healthy lifestyle support
- **Outcome 3:** Employees participate as active partners in health care decisions and living healthy lifestyles

### PRIORITY B. Accessible, Innovative, Patient-Driven Care

**CORE STRATEGY V** Enhance quality and efficiency of care on the front-end
- **Outcome 1:** All Alaskans have regular and ongoing access to a primary care provider
- **Outcome 2:** Alaskans coordinate their health care needs through their primary care provider
- **Outcome 3:** Primary care providers are appropriately reimbursed for complex care management and coordination
- **Outcome 4:** Behavioral health and primary care services are integrated and available in either setting
- **Outcome 5:** Alaskans have access to high quality, comprehensive, coordinated trauma care

**CORE STRATEGY VI** Increase dignity and quality of care for seriously and terminally ill patients
- **Outcome 1:** Alaskans plan in advance to ensure health care and other end of life decisions are honored
- **Outcome 2:** Palliative care is available to every patient from the time of diagnosis of a serious illness or injury
- **Outcome 3:** Clinicians and seriously ill patients use a standard form for documenting shared treatment decisions
- **Outcome 4:** Patients and providers have access to information and resources on end-of-life care

### PRIORITY C. Healthy Alaskans

**CORE STRATEGY VII** Focus on prevention
- **Outcome 1:** Alaskans are a healthy weight
- **Outcome 2:** Children and seniors are appropriately immunized against vaccine preventable diseases
- **Outcome 3:** Behavioral health and primary care needs can be addressed in either clinical setting
- **Outcome 4:** Providers screen patients for depression, alcohol/substance abuse, and adverse childhood events
- **Outcome 5:** Employers facilitate employees’ ability to make healthy lifestyle choices

### PRIORITY D. Sustainable, Efficient, Effective Health Care System

**CORE STRATEGY VIII** Build the foundation of a sustainable health care system
- **Outcome 1:** Health data is maintained in private, secure electronic form to facilitate proper access to information
- **Outcome 2:** Telehealth technologies are used to facilitate access to and quality of health care
- **Outcome 3:** Real-time electronic reporting is used for rapid identification of public health threats
- **Outcome 4:** Health data is used to improve quality, efficiency, and effectiveness of health care, and public health
- **Outcome 5:** Communities have the telecommunications infrastructure necessary to optimize telehealth technologies
- **Outcome 6:** There is an appropriate distribution and supply of qualified health care workers available to Alaskans
- **Outcome 7:** Statewide health policy development is evidence-based and coordinated
Commission Recommendations

Following is a compilation of all recommendations made by the Commission since its earlier inception in 2009 under a Governor’s Administrative Order. The recommendations are grouped around the most relevant core strategy, and may be repeated if they directly impact more than one strategy. The year each recommendation was made is noted in parenthesis. For the findings supporting each recommendation please see the Commission’s annual report for that year.

I. Ensure the best available evidence is used for making decisions.

Evidence-Based Medicine

1. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature encourage and support State health care programs to engage in the application of high grade evidence-based medicine in making determinations about benefit design (covered services, prior authorization requirements, patient cost-sharing differentials) and provider payment methods. (2010)

2. The Commission recommends that the Governor require State health care programs to coordinate development and application of evidence-based medicine policies to create a consistent approach to supporting improved quality and efficiency in Alaska’s health care system. (2010)

3. The Commission recommends that the Governor require State health care programs to involve health care providers and consumers in decision making related to the application of evidence-based medicine to public policy. The purpose of such involvement is to support a transparent process leading to policies that avoid restricting access to appropriate treatment and that foster informed discussions between patients and clinicians in which individualized, evidence-based choices improve the quality of health care. (2010)

4. The Commission recommends that the Governor direct State health care programs to seek to incorporate data on patient compliance in developing new provider payment methods and benefit design. (2010)

5. The Commission recommends that the Alaska Department of Health & Social Services implement a web-based data system for public health information. (2010)

II. Increase price and quality transparency

1. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska encourage full participation in the Hospital Discharge Database by Alaska’s hospitals. (2011)

2. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska study the need for and feasibility of an All-Payers Claims Database. (2011)

3. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the Department of Health & Social Services investigate and the legislature support implementation of a mechanism for providing the public with information on prices for health care services offered in the state, including information on how quality and outcomes compare, so Alaskans can make informed choices as engaged consumers. **Note:** This recommendation is included under the employer engagement strategy as well. (2012)
III. Pay for Value: Design payment structures to incentivize quality, efficiency and effectiveness

1. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska utilize payment policies for improving the value of health care spending – for driving improved quality, efficiency and outcomes for each health care dollar spent in Alaska – recognizing that:
   a. Local payment reform solutions are required for Alaska’s health care markets
   b. Payment reform may not result in immediate cost savings, but efforts must begin immediately
   c. Payment reform is not the magic bullet for health care reform, but is one essential element in transforming Alaska’s health care system so that it better serves patients, and delivers better value for payers and purchasers. (2011)

2. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska take a phased approach to payment reform, revising payment structures to support primary care transformation as a first step in utilizing payment policies for improving value in Alaska’s health care system. (2011)

3. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska develop health data collection and analysis capacity as a tool for quality improvement and payment reform. Data collection, analysis and use decisions should involve clinicians, payers, and patients. (2011)

4. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska support efforts by state officials responsible for purchasing health care services with public funds to collaborate on the development of common purchasing policies. These collaborative efforts should include key stakeholders, and should be used as leverage to drive improved quality, effectiveness, efficiency and cost of care in Alaska’s health care system. These efforts should endeavor to engage commercial payers and federal health care programs in alignment of payment policies in a multi-payer approach to minimize the burden on health care providers. (2011)

IV. Engage employers in health and health care improvement

1. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the Department of Health & Social Services investigate and the legislature support implementation of a mechanism for providing the public with information on prices for health care services offered in the state, including information on how quality and outcomes compare, so Alaskans can make informed choices as engaged consumers. Note: This recommendation is also under the price and quality transparency strategy. (2012)

2. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska, as a major employer in the state, play a leadership role for all Alaskan employers by continuing to develop and share strategies already underway to improve employee health and productivity and increase health care value. The Commission recommends the Department of Administration take a comprehensive approach by including all the essential elements of a successful employee health management program: Price sensitivity, price and quality transparency, pro-active primary care, and healthy life-style support for employees. (2012)
V. Enhance quality and efficiency of care on the front-end

**Primary Care Innovation**

- The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature aggressively pursue development of patient-centric care models through payment reform, removal of statutory and regulatory barriers, and implementation of pilot projects. Development of pilot projects should include definition of the patient-centric model, identification of performance standards and measures, and payment models that are outcome-based. (2009)

**Patient-Centered Primary Care**

1. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska recognize the value of a strong patient-centered primary care system by supporting appropriate reimbursement for primary care services. (2011)

2. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska support state policies that promote the central tenet of patient-centered primary care – that it is a model of care based on a continuous healing relationship between the clinical team and the patient. (2011)

3. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska and other entities planning a patient-centered primary care transformation initiative incorporate the following strategies the Commission found to be common to start-up of successful programs studied as models. These successful models started with:
   a) Financial investment by the initiating payer organization (whether public or private).
   b) Strong medical leadership and management involved in planning and development.
   c) A collaborative partnership between the payers and clinical providers.
   d) A vision concerned with improving patient care, followed by identification of principles, definitions, criteria for participation, and tools and measures.
   e) A focus on local (i.e., practice-level) flexibility and empowerment.
   f) A phased approach to implementation.
   g) A tiered approach to managing patient populations. (2011)

4. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska and other entities implementing a patient-centered primary care transformation initiative include the following attributes the Commission found to be common to successful programs studied as models:
   a) **Resources** provided to primary care practices to support improved access and care coordination capabilities.
   b) **New tools and skill development opportunities** provided to primary care practices to support culture and practice transformation.
   c) **Shared learning environments** for clinical teams to support development of emergent knowledge through practice and dissemination of new knowledge.
   d) **Timely data** provided to primary care practices to support patient population management and clinical quality improvement, including centralized analytical and reporting capability and capacity.
   e) **Infrastructure support** for medical guidance, including a medical director for clinical management and improvement, case managers, pharmacists, and behavioral health clinicians.
   f) **A system of review** that includes both implementation monitoring by initiative partners and evaluation of initiative outcomes by an independent third-party. (2011)
5. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska support a patient-centered medical home (PCMH) initiative, recognizing:
   a) Front-end investment will be required for implementation, and it may take two to three years before a return on investment will be realized;
   b) Collaboration between State programs that pay for health care, other health care payers and the primary care clinicians who will be responsible for implementing this model is essential to success; and,
   c) Patient-centered primary care development is not the magic bullet for health care reform, but is an essential element in transforming Alaska’s health care system so that it better serves patients, better supports providers, and delivers better value. (2011)

6. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska support efforts to foster development of patient centered primary care models in Alaska that:
   o Integrate behavioral health services with primary physical health care services in common settings appropriate to the patient population.
   o Assure coordination between primary care and higher level behavioral health services.
   o Include screening for the patient population using evidence-based tools to screen for
     - A history of adverse childhood events
     - Substance abuse
     - Depression
     Also included under Focus on Prevention  (2011)

Alaska’s Trauma System

1. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska support a strong trauma system for Alaska that:
   o Is comprehensive and coordinated, including:
     ▪ Public health system capacity for
       - studying the burden of injury in the local population
       - designing and implementing injury prevention programs
       - supporting the development and exercise of local and statewide emergency preparedness and response plans
     ▪ Emergency medical service capacity for effective pre-hospital care for triage, stabilization and coordination of safe transportation of critically injured patients
     ▪ Trauma center care for treatment of critically injured patients
     ▪ Rehabilitation services for optimizing recovery from injuries
     ▪ Disability services to support life management for individuals left with a permanent disability due to an injury
   o Is integrated, aligning existing resources to efficiently and effectively achieve improved patient outcomes.
   o Is designed to meet the unique requirements of the population served.
   o Provides evidence-based medical care to achieve the best possible outcomes for the patient.
   o Provides seamless transition for the patient between the different phases of care. (2011)

2. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska support continued implementation of the recommendations contained in the 2008 consultation report by the American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma, including achievement and maintenance of certification of trauma center status of Alaskan hospitals. (2011)
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VI. Increase choice, dignity and quality of care for seriously and terminally ill patients.

1. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the Governor or legislature foster communication and education regarding end-of-life planning and health care for seriously and terminally ill patients by supporting a program to:
   a. Sponsor an on-going statewide public education campaign regarding the value of end-of-life planning; and,
   b. Establish and maintain a website for end-of-life planning and palliative care resources, including Alaska-specific information, planning guides, clinical best practices and practice guidelines, and educational opportunities for the general public and for clinicians and other community-based service providers. (2012)


3. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the University of Alaska ensure end-of-life care is included within the curriculum of health practitioner training programs. (2012)

4. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the Department of Health & Social Services fund a process to investigate evolving the Comfort One program to a POLST/MOST program (Physician Orders for Life Sustaining Treatment/Medical Orders for Scope of Treatment). (2012)

5. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the legislature establish a secure electronic registry aligned with the Statewide Health Information Exchange as a place for Alaskans to securely store directives associated with end-of-life and advanced health care plans online and to give authorized health care providers immediate access to them. (2012)

6. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska partner with other payers and providers to demonstrate:
   a. The use of telehealth technologies for delivering hospice and other palliative care services to rural and underserved urban Alaskans; and
   b. The design of new reimbursement methodologies that improve the value equation in financing of end-of-life services. (2012)
VII. Focus on Prevention

Healthy Lifestyles

- The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature investigate and support additional strategies to encourage and support healthy lifestyles, including strategies to create cultures of wellness in any setting. (2009)

Obesity in Alaska

- The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska implement evidence-based programs to address the growing rate of Alaskans who are overweight or obese. First efforts should focus on nutrition and physical activity for children and young people and raise public awareness of the health risks associated with being overweight and obese. (2011)

Immunization against Vaccine-Preventable Disease

- The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska ensure the state’s immunization program is adequately funded and supported, and that health care providers give priority to improving immunization rates in order to protect Alaskans from serious preventable diseases and their complications. (2011)

Population-based Prevention & Behavioral Health

1. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska support efforts to foster development of patient centered primary care models in Alaska that:
   - Integrate behavioral health services with primary physical health care services in common settings appropriate to the patient population.
   - Assure coordination between primary care and higher level behavioral health services.
   - Include screening for the patient population using evidence-based tools to screen for
     - A history of adverse childhood events
     - Substance abuse
     - Depression (2011)

2. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska develop with input from health care providers new payment methodologies for state-supported behavioral health services to facilitate integration of primary physical health care services with behavioral health care services. (2011)
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VIII. Build the foundation of a sustainable health care system

A. Health Information Infrastructure

Health Information Technology – General

- The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature take an aggressive approach to supporting adoption, utilization, and potential funding of health information technology, including health information exchange, electronic health records and telemedicine/telehealth that promise to increase efficiency and protect privacy. (2009)

Health Information Technology – Health Information Exchange (HIE) & Electronic Health Records (EHRs)

1. The Commission recommends that the Governor direct the Department of Health & Social Services to explore options for assisting providers (particularly smaller primary care practices and individual primary care providers) with adoption of electronic health record systems. (2009)

2. The Commission recommends that the Governor ensure Alaska’s statewide health information exchange supports providers who have not yet adopted their own electronic health record system by facilitating identification and purchase of systems that are interoperable with the state exchange. (2009)

3. The Commission recommends that the Governor ensure that HIT is utilized to protect the public’s health. Alaska’s health information exchange should connect with electronic public health reporting systems to enable real-time disease reporting and rapid identification of public health threats. (2009)

4. The Commission recommends that the Governor ensure that data available through the statewide health information exchange is utilized to identify opportunities for administrative efficiencies, coordination and optimization of care, and health care quality and safety improvement. (2009)

5. The Commission recommends that the 2010 Alaska Health Care Commission track the development of the Alaska Statewide Health Information Exchange, Alaska’s new Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS), and the use of ARRA funds for electronic health record deployment; and the Commission should continue to identify current issues, policy choices and recommendations based on these developments. (2009)

6. The Commission recommends that the Governor designate a statewide entity with the responsibility for ensuring broad implementation of health information security and privacy protections. The entity should participate in on-going efforts at the national level to identify security and privacy standards, should oversee application of those standards to Alaska’s statewide health information exchange, and should identify a process for Alaskan patients to opt out of participation in the health information exchange. (2009)

Health Information Technology – Telehealth/Telemedicine

1. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska legislature work with federal and local partners to ensure all Alaskan communities have access to broadband telecommunications infrastructure that provides the connectivity and bandwidth necessary to optimize use of health information technologies. (2009)
2. The Commission recommends that the Governor direct the Alaska Department of Health & Social Services to investigate innovative reimbursement mechanisms for telemedicine-delivered services; test new payment methodologies through Medicaid, and work with other payers to encourage adoption of successful methodologies. (2009)

3. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the Department of Health & Social Services develop collaborative relationships across health care sectors and between payers and providers in existing telehealth initiatives to facilitate solutions to current access barriers. The Commission further recommends telehealth collaboratives:
   - Focus on increasing access to behavioral health and primary care services;
   - Target specific health conditions for which clinical improvement, health outcomes, costs and cost savings can be documented; and,
   - Include an evaluation plan and baseline measurements prior to implementation, measurable objectives and outcomes, and agreement between pilot partners on selected metrics. (2012)

4. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the Department of Health & Social Services develop a business use analysis for a private sector statewide brokered telehealth service including:
   - Compilation and maintenance of a directory of telehealth providers
   - Compilation and maintenance of a directory of telehealth equipment addresses
   - Coordination of telehealth session scheduling for providers and equipment
   - Facilitation of network connections for telehealth sessions
   - Provision of 24/7 technical support (2012)

   **Health Information Infrastructure – Health Data & Analytics**

1. The Commission recommends that the Alaska Department of Health & Social Services implement a web-based data system for public health information. *Also under Evidence-based Medicine* (2010)

2. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska encourage full participation in the Hospital Discharge Database by Alaska’s hospitals. *Also under Transparency* (2011)

3. The Alaska Health Care Commission recommends the State of Alaska study the need for and feasibility of an All-Payers Claims Database. *Also under Transparency* (2011)


**B. Health Workforce**

**Workforce - General**

1. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature maintain health care workforce development as a priority on Alaska’s health care reform and economic development agendas. (2009)

2. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature explore strategies for strengthening the pipeline of potential future Alaska health care workers. (2009)

3. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature explore strategies for ensuring Alaska’s health care workforce continues to be innovative and adaptive, and that it is responsive to emerging patient care models. (2009)
4. The Commission recommends that the Governor designate a single entity with the responsibility for coordinating all health care workforce development planning activities in and for Alaska. Coordination and collaboration of funders, policymakers and stakeholders in workforce planning and development efforts should be encouraged to the greatest extent possible. (2009)

5. The Commission recommends that the 2010 Alaska Health Care Commission continue studying health care workforce needs in coordination with other organizations and coalitions addressing this issue, and identify recommendations for additional improvements. (2009)

Workforce – Physician Supply

1. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature target the state’s limited financial resources invested in physician workforce development to strengthening the supply of primary care physicians. (2009)

2. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature support development and maintenance of an educational loan repayment and direct financial incentive program in support of recruitment and retention of primary care physicians and mid-level practitioners. (2009)

3. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature support the continued expansion of the WWAMI program. Future expansion should be supported as resources allow. (2009)

4. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature support graduate medical education for primary care and behavioral medicine. State financial support should continue for ongoing operation of the Alaska Family Medicine Residency Program, and should be appropriated for the planning and development of in-state residency programs for pediatrics, psychiatry, and primary care internal medicine. (2009)

5. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature ask Alaska’s congressional delegation to pursue federal policies to address equity in the allocation and distribution of Medicare Graduate Medical Education (GME) residency slots. The exclusion of new programs is not equitable, and there should be heavier weighting for primary care GME and for shortage areas. (2009)

6. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature explore strategies for addressing the primary care physician shortage. (2009)

C. Statewide Leadership

1. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature invest in the state health policy infrastructure required to study, understand, and make recommendations to respond to the implications of national health care reform for Alaska. (2009)

2. The Commission recommends that the Alaska Legislature establish an Alaska Health Care Commission in statute, similar in size to the Commission established under Administrative Order #246, to provide a focal point for sustained and comprehensive planning and policy recommendations for health care delivery and financing reform, and to ensure transparency and accountability for the public in the process. (2009)
Access to Primary Care for Medicare Patients - 2009

The Health Care Commission originally convened in 2009 under Administrative Order #246 also addressed the problem experienced at the time by urban Alaskan seniors with access to primary care.

1. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature improve the supply of primary care providers in order to enable increased access to care for Medicare patients by:
   - Supporting a student loan repayment and financial incentive program for primary care providers practicing in Alaska and serving Medicare patients (and including other service requirements deemed necessary to meet the needs of the underserved);
   - Supporting development of a primary care internal medicine residency program;
   - Supporting WWAMI program expansion as resources allow; and,
   - Supporting mid-level practitioner development.

2. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature explore strategies for removing barriers to the development of designated Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) and Rural Health Clinics (RHCs), facilitating development through state application for federal shortage designations for Medicare populations and supporting planning for new and expanded FQHCs/RHCs.

3. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature work with Alaska’s Congressional delegation to improve Medicare’s reimbursement scheme to ensure the sustainability of care to Medicare patients.

4. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature ask Alaska’s congressional delegation to pursue federal policies to redesign the Medicare audit process so that it focuses more on identification and prosecution of fraudulent practices than on billing errors. Reported financial incentives for audit contractors should be eliminated and replaced with performance measures. Concern over billing errors should be addressed through provider training and performance reports, not through audit processes designed to weed out fraud and abuse.

5. The Commission recommends that the Governor and Alaska Legislature commission an analysis comparing Medicare to Medicaid and private insurance administrative requirements, including recommendations for streamlining public insurance administrative procedures to make them more user-friendly.

6. The Commission recommends that the Governor facilitate development of PACE programs in Alaska by directing the Department of Health & Social Services to submit a State Plan Amendment to the U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to add PACE as a Medicaid service, and to identify and remove barriers to development of PACE programs.
September 10, 2013

Kris Curtis, CPA, CISA
Legislative Auditor
Division of Legislative Audit
Legislative Budget and Audit Committee
P.O. Box 113300
Juneau, AK 99811-3300

Dear Ms. Curtis:

RE: Response to Preliminary Audit Report on Department of Health & Social Services,
Alaska Health Care Commission

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the preliminary audit report on your agency’s Sunset Review of the Alaska Health Care Commission.

Below is the response for the report conclusions and recommendations received with your correspondence dated August 22, 2013.

Recommendation No. 1

The commission should coordinate with the Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) commissioner to identify each agency’s roles and responsibilities regarding developing a statewide health plan and pursue development accordingly.

We partially concur with this recommendation, but believe the emphasis on the lack of a statewide health plan and lack of coordination between the department and the commission is overstated. I believe the studies, vision, priorities, core strategies, desired outcomes, and policy recommendations developed by the commission to-date represent an essential and significant step by providing nearly all the elements required for a statewide health plan. There has also been regular and ongoing communication and coordination between the department and the commission since the commission’s inception.

My plan for implementing this recommendation by January 15, 2014 is as follows:

1. I will provide a memo to the commission chair delineating the roles and responsibilities of the commission and DHSS leadership on development of an actionable statewide health plan by December 31, 2013.
2. I will increasingly participate in meetings of the commission to share and discuss the roles and responsibilities of the commission and department for developing and implementing an actionable statewide health plan.

3. I will direct DHSS leadership and commission staff to collaborate on the development of a measurable action plan for implementing the commission’s significant policy recommendations, including plans to address all the elements required in statute that have not yet been included, e.g., fraud and abuse.

4. I will coordinate with leadership of other state agencies addressed in policy recommendations of the commission, such as the Department of Administration and the Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, to collaborate on the development of action plans for their affected programs.

Recommendation No. 2

The commission chair should implement a policy to utilize established DHSS public noticing procedures for commission meetings.

We concur with this recommendation and have outlined a plan for implementation below:

1. The commission’s administrative procedure manual, including the existing checklist for pre-meeting planning and preparation, will be revised to note required posting of meeting notices on the SOA public notice website and in three major newspapers at least three weeks in advance of each meeting.

2. The manual will further clarify the importance of distinguishing between oral and written public comment opportunities, public meetings, and public hearings, and will note the importance of consistent use of the appropriate terms.

Recommendation No. 3

The commission chair should implement procedures to ensure annual reports include all statutorily required components.

We concur with this recommendation and have outlined a plan for implementation below:

1. The commission’s administrative procedure manual will be revised to include a checklist for annual report preparation. That checklist will include the four statutorily required components, and will include a signature line for the commission chair to note review and concurrence with inclusion of the required components in the final report.

I would like to note a few other comments related to the report conclusions on page 9 of the report. I only partially concur with the conclusion noted, “Neither the commission nor the
Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) coordinated efforts to develop a statewide health care plan.” While I agree that the studies, vision, priorities, core strategies, desired outcomes and policy recommendations prepared by the commission do not include an implementation plan, I believe it represents an essential and significant step by providing the framework for a statewide health care plan. In addition, coordination between the commission and the department has occurred and is continuing to lead us in the direction of a complete and actionable plan. Evidence of coordination includes:

- Participation by the commission chair and executive director in all DHSS leadership team weekly meetings and monthly summits.
- Participation by senior DHSS officials, including me, in commission meetings on numerous topics. Of note, the Chief Medical Officer of the department is also the chair of the commission.
- Participation by commission staff in DHSS strategic planning and results-based accountability work sessions, and the alignment of the commission’s priorities, core strategies, and outcomes with the department’s priorities, core services, and performance measures.

Report Conclusion

We recommend the commission’s termination date be extended three years to June 30, 2017, to provide adequate time to develop a statewide health plan.

I support and welcome your recommendation to extend the commission’s termination date by three years to June 30, 2017. This will provide the time required to establish the action plan as the final element of the statewide health care plan, as well as time for accountability checks on implementation and review and refinement of the plan as it is implemented.

Thank you again for this opportunity to review and comment on the preliminary findings and recommendations from this audit. Please contact me if you require additional information or clarification regarding my response.

Sincerely,

William J. Streur
Commissioner

cc: Ward Hurlburt, MD, MPH, Chair, Alaska Health Care Commission
Deborah Erickson, Executive Director, Alaska Health Care Commission
Linnea Osborne, Financial Management Systems, Dept. of Health & Social Services
September 10, 2013

Kris Curtis, CPA, CISA
Legislative Auditor
Division of Legislative Audit
Legislative Budget and Audit Committee
P.O. Box 113300
Juneau, AK 99811-3300

Dear Ms. Curtis:

RE: Preliminary Audit Report on Department of Health & Social Services, Alaska Health Care Commission

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the preliminary audit report on your agency’s Sunset Review of the Alaska Health Care Commission. I write to convey my complete concurrence with Commissioner Streur’s response to the preliminary audit report (dated September 10, 2013), and to clarify the role of the Commission in implementing the recommendations.

Recommendation No. 1

The commission should coordinate with the Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) commissioner to identify each agency’s roles and responsibilities regarding developing a statewide health plan, and pursue development accordingly.

I will implement the plan outlined below:

1. The Commission’s Executive Director and I will collaborate with the department through continued participation on the DHSS leadership team on the development of a measurable action plan for implementing the commission’s significant policy recommendations, including plans to address all the elements required in statute that have not yet been included, e.g., fraud and abuse.

2. I will work with Commissioner Streur to support coordination with leadership of other state agencies addressed in policy recommendations of the commission, such as the Department of Administration and the Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, to collaborate on the development of action plans for their affected programs.
Recommendation No. 2

The commission chair should implement a policy to utilize established DHSS public noticing procedures for commission meetings.

I will implement the plan outlined below:

1. The commission’s administrative procedure manual, including the existing checklist for pre-meeting planning and preparation, will be revised to note required posting of meeting notices on the SOA public notice website and in three major newspapers at least three weeks in advance of each meeting. The checklist will include a signature line for the commission chair or executive director to verify compliance with the public notice requirements.

2. The manual will further clarify the importance of distinguishing between oral and written public comment opportunities, public meetings, and public hearings, and will note the importance of consistent use of the appropriate terms.

Recommendation No. 3

The commission chair should implement procedures to ensure annual reports include all statutorily required components.

I will implement the plan outlined below:

1. The commission’s administrative procedure manual will be revised to include a checklist for annual report preparation. That checklist will include the four statutorily required components, and will include a signature line for the commission chair to note review and concurrence with inclusion of the required components in the final report.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the preliminary audit report. Please contact me if you require additional information or clarification regarding my response.

Sincerely,

Ward B. Hurlburt, MD, MPH
Chair
Alaska Health Care Commission

cc: William Streur, Commissioner, Department of Health & Social Services
    Deborah Erickson, Executive Director, Alaska Health Care Commission
    Linnea Osborne, Financial Management Systems, Dept. of Health & Social Services